Opsonic monoclonal antibodies against lipopolysaccharide antigens of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *hardjo*

H. E. FARRELLY, B. ADLER and S. FAINE

Department of Microbiology, Monash University, Clayton, 3168, Victoria, Australia

Summary. Six monoclonal antibodies produced from mice immunised with *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *hardjo* were directed against determinants in the leptospiral lipopolysaccharide, as indicated by immunodiffusion and enzyme immunoassay (EIA), and opsonised leptospires for phagocytosis by mouse macrophages. Their specificities were studied by agglutination and EIA. Five antibodies reacted with some, but not all, members of the Sejroe and Hebdomadis serogroups, and one antibody agglutinated exclusively members of the Sejroe group thus identifying a serogroup-specific epitope. None of the six antibodies reacted with representative serovars of any other serogroup.

Introduction

Leptospirosis is an acute febrile septicaemic illness caused by *Leptospira interrogans*. Immunity to leptospirosis is humorally mediated (Adler and Faine, 1976, 1977, 1978; Adler *et al.*, 1980a) and although the importance of agglutinating antibodies in immunity has been well established, the nature and identity of the corresponding leptospiral antigens have not been well studied.

Many different antigenic preparations extracted from leptospires (Faine, 1974) have been described and classified according to seroreactions such as agglutination, complement fixation or precipitation, or in terms of their specificities as serovar- or serogroup-specific. However, these preparations are generally complex mixtures of antigens, about whose individual specificities and properties there is little information. The use of monoclonal antibodies provides a new approach.

Recently, Adler and Faine (1983a) reported the production of a species-specific monoclonal antibody that was directed against an antigen or epitope common to pathogenic serovars of leptospires but not found on the saprophytic *L. biflexa* nor *L. illini*. This antibody was not agglutinating, suggesting a sub-surface location for the antigen, and showed no activity in opsonisation and hamster protection tests, thus precluding a role for the antigen in immunity to leptospirosis. However, the taxonomic significance is obvious.

Adler and Faine (1983b) identified an epitope, present only in leptospires of the Pomona serogroup, which was one of at least two antigenic determinants recognised on lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from serovar *pomona*.

Ono *et al.* (1982) produced monoclonal antibodies to LPS (TM antigen) of serovar *kremastos*. These showed various cross reactivities in agglutination tests and were classified into 10 distinct groups on the basis of their serological specificity, indicating that TM antigen from serovar *kremastos* contained at least 10 different epitopes. They also produced five anti-*canicola* TM antigen antibodies which showed three distinct reactivities for the 11 serovars in the Canicola serogroup.

In the present paper we report the characterisation of opsonic monoclonal antibodies reactive against the LPS antigen or serovar *hardjo*.

Methods and methods

Organisms

Leptospira illini serovar *illini* and *L. biflexa* serovar *patoc* were obtained from A. D. Alexander, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington D.C., USA. Serovar *hardjo* strain 171 was a bovine isolate obtained from R. B. Marshall, Massey, University, New Zealand. All other serovars of leptospires were provided by N. Stallman, W.H.O. Leptospira Reference Laboratory, Brisbane, Australia. The serogroups of *L. interrogans* used are listed with the serovars in parentheses: Australis (*australis*); Autumnalis (*autumnalis*); Ballum (*ballum*); Bataviae (*bataviae*); Butembo (*butembo*); Canicola (*canicola*); Cel-

ledoni (*celledoni*); Cynopteri (*cynopteri*); Djasiman (*djasiman*); Grippytyphosa (*grippytyphosa*); Icterohaemorrhagiae (*copenhageni*); Javanica (*javanica*); Panama (*panama*); Pyrogenes (*zanoni*); Tarassovi (*tarassovi*). Leptospire were grown in Tween albumin EMJH medium with added pyruvate (Johnson *et al.*, 1973) and were enumerated in a Thoma bacterial counting chamber.

Sera, antigens and serological methods

The preparation of mouse antisera against whole leptospire was described previously (Tu *et al.*, 1982) as were the methods for the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) (Adler and Faine, 1976), immunodiffusion tests (Faine *et al.*, 1974) and the enzyme immunoassay (EIA) (Adler and Faine, 1983b). Leptospiral sonicate antigens were prepared as described previously (Adler *et al.*, 1980b) and leptospiral LPS was prepared according to the method of Westphal and Jann (1965) with a final step consisting of centrifugation at 105 000 *g* for 3 h.

Production of monoclonal antibodies

Adult Balb/c mice were immunised with an intraperitoneal injection of 2.5×10^8 leptospire of *L. interrogans* serovar *hardjo* (Hardjoprajitno reference strain) grown in protein-free medium (Christopher *et al.*, 1982) and were given a booster injection after 1 month. Three days later spleen cells were harvested into Dulbecco Modified Eagle's Medium (DME, Microbiological Associates, Bethesda, MD, USA). The polyethylene glycol method described by Kohler and Milstein (1975) was used to fuse 10^8 spleen cells with 10^7 NS-1 mouse myeloma cells. Resultant hybridomas were grown, screened for antileptospiral antibody by MAT and EIA, and cloned by limiting dilution as described previously (Adler and Faine, 1983b). All hybridomas were cloned at least twice and then grown to the required volume (usually 1000 ml) in DME. Culture supernates containing antibody were obtained by centrifugation and concentrated by ultrafiltration. The final antibody concentration was 0.5–1.0 mg/ml. Isotypes of antibodies were determined by immunodiffusion with specific rabbit antisera (Nordic Laboratories, Tilburg, The Netherlands).

Opsonisation and phagocytosis

Mouse peritoneal macrophages were harvested as described previously (Tu *et al.*, 1982) and were incubated for 1 h at 37°C in a humidified CO₂ incubator in 1 ml of DME containing fetal calf serum 10% v/v (DME-FCS) in glass chemiluminescence (CL) vials (for CL assays), or in Leighton tubes with glass cover slips (for immunofluorescence), at a concentration of 10^6 macrophages/ml. When required, 10- μ l volumes of monoclonal antibodies or mouse anti-*hardjo* serum at subagglutinating concentrations (such that the final dilution was double the MAT titre) or normal mouse serum or DME-FCS (final dilution 1 in 100) were added to CL vials, followed by leptospire at a ratio of 100/macrophage. CL measure-

ments were performed as described previously (McGrath *et al.*, 1984). For immunofluorescence, 10^8 leptospire were incubated with 10^6 macrophages for 30 min at 37°C, the monolayers washed with phosphate-buffered saline pH 7.2 (PBS), fixed for 10 min in formalin 10% v/v in PBS, and washed three times in PBS. Phagocytosed leptospire were visualised by indirect immunofluorescence staining and examination with a Zeiss IM35 inverted epifluorescence microscope. Mouse anti-*hardjo* serum was used as the primary antibody (Tu *et al.*, 1982) and FITC-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse serum as the second antibody (Antibodies Inc., Davis, CA, USA).

Electrophoresis, transblotting and staining

Sonicated leptospire (20 μ g of protein/6-mm lane) or LPS (5 μ g/lane) were electrophoresed on 1.5 mm thick, discontinuous sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide 15% w/v gels (SDS-PAGE) as described by Laemmli (1970), in a Protean I gel apparatus (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA). Gels were silver stained for LPS as described by Hitchcock and Brown (1983). Resolved material was transblotted (Burnette, 1981) on to nitrocellulose paper (Schleicher and Schuell, pore size 0.45 μ m) in a BioRad transblot cell, and immunostained with monoclonal antibodies, peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse serum (Silenus Laboratories, Dandenong, Australia), and 4-chloro-naphthol as described by Hawkes *et al.* (1982).

Results

Isotypes of monoclonal antibodies

The isotypes of the six antibodies reported in this paper (MUM/F1-1 to F1-6/*hardjo*), determined by immunodiffusion with specific rabbit antisera, are shown in table I.

Serological specificities of monoclonal antibodies

The serovar specificities of monoclonal antibodies were determined by MAT and EIA (table I). The antibodies varied in their agglutination reactions with serovars of the Sejroe serogroup of *L. interrogans*, reacting with some, but not all, serovars. F1-3, F1-5 and F1-6 agglutinated all serovars of the Sejroe group except *rupa rupae*; the other three antibodies varied in their specificities. The antibodies showed large differences in their specificities by MAT for members of the closely related Hebdomadis serogroup, from F1-6, that failed to agglutinate any serovars in this group, to F1-2 that agglutinated all members except *goiano* and *maru*.

There was little difference in the reactions of the antibodies with the bovine strain *hardjo* 171 compared with the Hardjoprajitno reference strain

Table I. Cross reactions of monoclonal antibodies F1-1 to F1-6 with serovars of the Sejroe and Hebdomadis serogroups of *L. interrogans* as measured by microscopic agglutination test (MAT) and enzyme immunoassay (EIA)

Serovar	Reactions with monoclonal antibody (and isotype)											
	F1-1 (IgG1)		F1-2 (IgM)		F1-3 (IgG3)		F1-4 (IgM)		F1-5 (IgM)		F1-6 (IgG3)	
	MAT*	EIA†	MAT	EIA	MAT	EIA	MAT	EIA	MAT	EIA	MAT	EIA
SEJROE group												
<i>balcanica</i>	512	66	256	235	32	57	128	67	256	79	512	87
<i>caribe</i>	256	76	2048	505	1024	97	2048	134	2048	174	512	182
<i>dikkeni</i>	—	—	2048	270	256	—	—	—	32	—	16	—
<i>geyaweera</i>	—	—	256	550	32	135	—	—	2048	189	1024	—
<i>gorgas</i>	16	—	4096	595	256	135	256	53	1024	179	4096	146
<i>guaricurus</i>	64	30	512	475	128	82	512	119	128	125	64	154
<i>haemolytica</i>	—	—	2048	590	64	170	8	103	128	227	8	—
<i>Hardjoprajitno</i>	512	100	256	100	128	100	256	100	2048	100	2048	100
<i>hardjo</i> 171	2	16	512	110	256	93	1024	17	2048	64	512	95
<i>istrica</i>	128	—	2048	485	128	85	1024	38	512	26	256	100
<i>medanensis</i>	1024	—	1024	85	256	—	256	38	2048	49	2048	62
<i>nyanza</i>	128	—	2	535	2048	150	—	148	2	229	1024	177
<i>polonica</i>	—	—	—	595	512	141	2048	100	2048	85	1024	256
<i>recreo</i>	256	—	2048	545	256	140	—	—	512	179	256	118
<i>ricardi</i>	2	—	512	560	32	136	—	—	16	191	16	—
<i>roumanica</i>	128	—	1024	570	256	166	2048	122	1024	197	128	277
<i>rupa rupae</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>saxkoebing</i>	—	—	2048	95	128	—	—	—	4	—	64	—
<i>sejroe</i>	—	—	—	90	256	—	1024	—	1024	—	256	—
<i>trinidad</i>	—	130	8	585	128	148	512	163	128	191	128	303
<i>wolffi</i>	2048	42	256	—	512	—	1024	34	2048	38	256	—
HEBDOMADIS group												
<i>beye</i>	—	—	512	440	8	—	32	90	512	179	—	—
<i>borincana</i>	—	—	128	260	—	—	16	62	128	85	—	—
<i>georgia</i>	—	—	256	520	2	32	4	136	512	174	—	—
<i>goiano</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>hebdomadis</i>	—	—	512	90	—	—	128	—	256	—	—	—
<i>jules</i>	—	—	2048	140	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>kabura</i>	—	—	2048	330	—	—	2048	72	1024	117	—	—
<i>kambale</i>	2	—	1024	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>kremastos</i>	2	—	512	—	—	—	512	—	256	43	—	—
<i>maru</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>mini</i>	—	—	1024	—	2	—	4	—	512	—	—	—
<i>nona</i>	—	—	512	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>parameles</i>	—	—	128	525	—	—	128	164	64	145	—	—
<i>szwajizak</i>	—	—	128	—	4	—	—	—	128	—	—	—
<i>tabaquite</i>	—	—	512	—	4	—	512	—	—	17	—	—
<i>worsfoldi</i>	—	—	2048	395	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

* MAT. Titre expressed as the highest dilution showing agglutination.

† EIA. Results expressed as percentage of OD at 488 nm obtained by heterologous EIA compared with homologous EIA (Hardjoprajitno).

— = Negative by MAT, or EIA OD < background level (0.20).

except with F1-1, which agglutinated *hardjo* 171 to a titre of only 2, compared with 512 for Hardjoprajitno, and F1-4 which showed a reduced reaction with *hardjo* 171 by EIA although the agglutination titres for the two strains were similar.

Different patterns of specificities were observed

when the antibodies were tested by EIA, with most of them showing narrower specificities than by MAT. F1-1, F1-3 and F1-6 reacted with fewer serovars of the Sejroe group by EIA and neither F1-1 nor F1-6 reacted with any Hebdomadis serovars by EIA. Similarly, F1-2 reacted with far fewer

Fig. 1. Chemiluminescence (CL) reponse of 10^6 mouse macrophages incubated with 10^8 leptospirae of serovar *hardjo* in normal mouse serum ■-----■; mouse anti-*hardjo* serum; ▲····▲; MUM/F1-3/*hardjo* monoclonal antibody ●——●.

Hebdomadis serovars by EIA than it agglutinated.

None of the six monoclonal antibodies agglutinated or reacted in EIA with leptospirae of serovars representative of any other serogroup (see *Materials and methods*) of *L. interrogans*, nor with *L. illini* nor with *L. biflexa* serovar *patoc*.

Opsonisation of leptospirae for phagocytosis by macrophages

Opsonisation of homologous leptospirae by monoclonal antibodies was measured by both chemiluminescence and immunofluorescence staining of macrophages. A CL response was observed when

macrophages were incubated with homologous leptospirae in the presence of each of the six monoclonal antibodies. Antibodies F1-1 to F1-6 gave peak responses, after 6-9 min, of 1.4, 2.2, 1.6, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.7 mV respectively. The responses gradually declined to base levels within 25-30 min. A typical response with antibody F1-3 and polyclonal mouse anti-*hardjo* serum is shown in fig. 1. No CL response was seen in the presence of either normal mouse serum (fig. 1) or hybridoma culture medium alone.

Immunofluorescence staining of mouse macrophages following incubation with homologous leptospirae showed that leptospirae were phagocytosed in the presence of subagglutinating levels of each of the monoclonal antibodies or of polyclonal mouse anti-*hardjo* serum, but not in the presence of either normal mouse serum or hybridoma culture medium alone. Fig. 2 shows a typical result with F1-3 antibody.

Reactions of monoclonal antibodies with homologous LPS

All six monoclonal antibodies produced strong precipitin lines when tested in immunodiffusion against purified homologous (Hardjoprajitno) LPS at a concentration of 1 mg/ml (fig. 3) and all reacted strongly with LPS 50 µg/ml in EIA tests (table II). All six antibodies reacted with purified LPS by immunoblotting, with a characteristic immuno-

Fig. 2. Immunofluorescence staining of mouse macrophages incubated with leptospirae of serovar *hardjo* and MUM/F1-3/*hardjo* monoclonal antibody, showing ingestion of leptospirae after opsonisation. Bar = 20 µm.

Fig. 3. Immunodiffusion reactions of monoclonal antibodies MUM/F1-1 to F1-6/*hardjo* (wells 1-6 respectively) with homologous LPS (well 7).

Table II. Reactivity of monoclonal antibodies F1-1 to F1-6 with homologous LPS as measured by enzyme immunoassay

Test antibody‡	Absorbance values* at 488 nm with antigen preparation†		
	hardjo sonicate	hardjo LPS	pomona sonicate
mouse normal serum	0.14	0.13	0.11
mouse antiserum	>2.00	1.59	0.78
F1-1	>2.00	>2.00	0.07
F1-2	1.76	1.50	0.05
F1-3	>2.00	>2.00	0.12
F1-4	1.78	1.36	0.11
F1-5	1.84	1.57	0.05
F1-6	>2.00	1.69	0.14

* An absorbance value of >0.20 was considered positive.

† LPS was used at 50 µg/ml and sonicates were used at 5×10^7 leptospire/ml.

‡ Monoclonal antibodies were tested at a dilution of 1 in 5 and polyclonal mouse anti-*hardjo* serum and normal mouse serum were used at a dilution of 1 in 100.

Fig. 4. SDS-PAGE patterns of *L. interrogans* serovar *hardjo* LPS. Lane A: positions of mol. wt markers (10^3); lane B: silver stain of *hardjo* LPS; lane C: immunostain of lane B with MUM/F1-1/*hardjo*.

staining pattern of three major bands as shown with the example of antibody F1-1 in fig. 4.

Discussion

Six monoclonal antibodies against *L. interrogans* serovar *hardjo* were produced. These antibodies showed different cross-reactivities with serovars of the Sejroe and Hebdomadis serogroups by both agglutination and enzyme immunoassay, indicating that they were directed against different epitopes. The epitope against which antibody MUM/F1-6/*hardjo* was directed was found exclusively in all serovars of the Sejroe group except *rupa rupae*, indicating that this serovar, which has been only provisionally included in this serogroup (Faine,

1982; de Hidalgo and Sulzer, 1984; Johnson and Faine, 1984) may need further evaluation.

Antibodies F1-2 to F1-6 agglutinated the field isolate of serovar *hardjo* 171 with titres not significantly different from those against the hardjoprjitno reference strain used as the immunogen. However, F1-1 identified quantitative differences between Hardjoprjitno and *hardjo* 171, in that it hardly agglutinated the field strain at all (MAT titre, 2). Quantitative differences were also detected by EIA, with *hardjo* 171 reacting to only 16% and 17% of the homologous reactions with antibodies F1-1 and F1-4 respectively, indicating greatly reduced amounts of the corresponding epitopes in the field strain. Differences in epitopes between laboratory reference strains and field strains have obvious implications for serodiagnosis and the selection of vaccine strains. In particular, many workers consider that Hardjoprjitno and the serovar *hardjo* strains currently prevalent as world-wide causes of human and animal infections are different subtypes (Ellis, 1985), and these two strains have been distinguished on the basis of DNA restriction endonuclease analysis (Robinson *et al.*, 1982). Our finding with F1-1 monoclonal antibody is the first documented antigenic difference between these strains.

All six monoclonal antibodies reacted with purified homologous LPS by immunodiffusion, EIA and in western blots indicating that LPS from serovar *hardjo* contains at least six different antigenic determinants. The fact that all six react with the three major bands in LPS shows that the different epitopes are to be found on identical structural LPS components. On the basis of the F1-6 reactive epitope which was an LPS component present only in serovars of the Sejroe serogroup, the results also confirm the recently agreed separation of Sejroe and Hebdomadis serogroups. Our results are similar to those of Ono *et al.* (1982) who found at least 10

antigenic determinants on serovar *kremastos* TM (LPS) antigen, and at least three determinants on serovar *canicola* TM antigen, and the results of Adler and Faine (1983a) who found at least two different epitopes on LPS from serovar *pomona*. In all of these serovars more epitopes may be identified if more monoclonal antibodies of different specificities are produced.

Although several groups of workers have now used monoclonal antibodies against leptospires, the corresponding epitopes have been described mainly for their taxonomic or diagnostic interest and have not been examined for their potential roles as identified antigens involved in immunity. In our study all six monoclonal antibodies which reacted against epitopes on homologous LPS also opsonised leptospires for phagocytosis by mouse macrophages. LPS has been identified, by monoclonal antibodies, as an important protective antigen in acute infections with other gram-negative bacteria (Colwell *et al.*, 1984; Kirkland and Ziegler, 1984; Sawada *et al.*, 1984), but it was not possible to perform protection experiments with serovar *hardjo* as it does not cause lethal infections in laboratory animals. The importance of opsonisation and phagocytosis as host defence mechanisms against leptospirosis is well established (Cinco *et al.*, 1982; Tu *et al.*, 1982; McGrath *et al.*, 1984) and these observations together with the opsonic activities of our monoclonal antibodies identify LPS as an important protective antigen in leptospires of serovar *hardjo*. The significance of LPS in immunity and prevention of leptospirosis thus requires further study.

This work was supported by a research grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council, Canberra, Australia. We thank Tu Vinh for assistance with the silver staining techniques.

REFERENCES

- Adler B, Faine S 1976 Susceptibility of mice treated with cyclophosphamide to lethal infection with *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *pomona*. *Infection and Immunity* **14**:703-708.
- Adler B, Faine S 1977 Host immunological mechanisms in the resistance of mice to leptospiral infection. *Infection and Immunity* **17**:67-72.
- Adler B, Faine S 1978 The antibodies involved in the human immune response to leptospiral infection. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **11**:387-400.
- Adler B, Faine S 1983a Species-specific and genus-specific antigens in *Leptospira*, revealed by monoclonal antibodies and enzyme immunoassay. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie Mikrobiologie und Hygiene I Abteilung Originale A* **255**:317-322.
- Adler B, Faine S 1983b A *Pomona* serogroup-specific, agglutinating antigen in *Leptospira*, identified by monoclonal antibodies. *Pathology* **15**:247-250.
- Adler B, Faine S, Muller H K, Green D E 1980a Maturation of humoral immune response determines the susceptibility of guinea pigs to leptospirosis. *Pathology* **12**:529-538.
- Adler B, Murphy A M, Locarnini S A, Faine S 1980b The detection of specific anti-leptospiral immunoglobulin M and immunoglobulin G in human serum by solid-phase enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **11**:452-457.
- Burnette W N 1981 "Western blotting": electrophoretic transfer of proteins from sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels to unmodified nitrocellulose and radiographic detection with antibody and radioiodinated Protein A. *Analytical Biochemistry* **112**:195-203.

- Christopher W L, Adler B, Faine S 1982 Immunogenicity of leptospiral vaccines grown in protein-free medium. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **15**:493–501.
- Cinco M, Banfi E, Soranzo M R 1981 Studies on the interaction between macrophages and leptospires. *Journal of General Microbiology* **124**:409–413.
- Colwell D E, Michalek S M, Briles D E, Jirillo E, McGhee J R 1984 Monoclonal antibodies to *Salmonella* lipopolysaccharide: anti-O-polysaccharide antibodies protect C3H mice against challenge with virulent *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Journal of Immunology* **133**:950–957.
- de Hidalgo J L, Sulzer K R 1984 Six new leptospiral serovars isolated from wild animals in Peru. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **19**:944–945.
- Ellis W A 1985 Restriction endonuclease techniques in the study of the epidemiology of serovar *hardjo*. Abstracts of the 5th meeting of European *Leptospira* workers, Amsterdam, 1985.
- Faine S 1974 Leptospiral antigens. *Folia Facultatis Medicae Universitatis Comeninae Bratislavensis*. **12**:57–74.
- Faine S (ed) 1982 Guidelines for the control of leptospirosis. WHO Offset Publication No. 67, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Faine S, Adler B, Palit A 1974 Chemical, serological and biological properties of a serotype-specific polysaccharide antigen in *Leptospira*. *Australian Journal of Experimental Biology and Medical Science* **52**:311–319.
- Hawkes R, Niday E, Gordon J 1982 A dot-immunobinding assay for monoclonal and other antibodies. *Analytical Biochemistry* **119**:142–147.
- Hitchcock P J, Brown T M 1983 Morphological heterogeneity among *Salmonella* lipopolysaccharide chemotypes in silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. *Journal of Bacteriology* **154**:269–277.
- Johnson R C, Faine S 1984 *Leptospira* Noguchi, 1917. In: Krieg N R, Holt J G (eds) *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, 9th edn. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, pp 62–67.
- Johnson R C, Walby J, Henry R A, Auran N E 1973 Cultivation of parasitic leptospires: effect of pyruvate. *Applied Microbiology* **26**:118–119.
- Kirkland T N, Ziegler E J 1984 An immunoprotective monoclonal antibody to lipopolysaccharide. *Journal of Immunology* **132**:2590–2592.
- Kohler G, Milstein C 1975 Continuous cultures of fused cells secreting antibody of predefined specificity. *Nature* **256**:495–497.
- Laemmli U K 1970 Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* **227**:680–685.
- McGrath H, Adler B, Vinh T, Faine S 1984 Phagocytosis of virulent and avirulent leptospires by guinea-pig and human polymorphonuclear leukocytes *in vitro*. *Pathology* **16**:243–249.
- Ono E, Naiki M, Yanagawa R 1982 Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies to lipopolysaccharide antigen of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *kremastos* and *canicola*. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie Microbiologie und Hygiene I Abteilung Originale A* **252**:414–424.
- Robinson A J, Ramadass P, Lee A, Marshall R B 1982 Differentiation of subtypes within *Leptospira interrogans* serovars *hardjo*, *balcanica* and *tarassovi*, by bacterial restriction-endonuclease DNA analysis (BRENDA). *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **15**:331–338.
- Sawada S, Suzuki M, Kawamura T, Fujinaga S, Masuho Y, Tomibe K 1984 Protection against infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by passive transfer of monoclonal antibodies to lipopolysaccharides and outer membrane proteins. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* **150**:570–576.
- Tu V, Adler B, Faine S 1982 The role of macrophages in the protection of mice against leptospirosis: *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. *Pathology* **14**:463–468.
- Westphal O, Jann K 1965 Bacterial lipopolysaccharides. Extraction with phenol-water and further applications of the procedure. *Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry* **5**:83–91.