The influence of growth medium on serum sensitivity of *Bacteroides* species

E. ALLAN and I. R. POXTON*

Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Edinburgh Medical School, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG

Summary. The susceptibility of 12 different *Bacteroides* strains (representing nine species) to the bactericidal effect of human serum complement was investigated. When grown in nutrient-rich proteose peptone-yeast extract medium, all 12 strains were, to varying degrees, sensitive to serum. However, when grown in Van Tassell and Wilkins's minimal medium, six of the 12 strains became markedly more serum resistant. Five of these six strains became totally resistant to serum when grown in heat-inactivated (56°C, 30 min) sheep serum. By Percoll discontinuous density centrifugation and light microscopy, the ratio of bacteria with large and small capsules was found to vary with the growth medium used. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was extracted with aqueous phenol after growth in the three media. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and silver staining of the LPS showed some differences in LPS profiles in all strains tested. Therefore, variation of growth conditions results in alterations of both the expression of surface structures and, in some cases, sensitivity to serum. The biochemical basis for these changes requires further investigation.

Introduction

Members of the genus *Bacteroides* (formerly the *Bacteroides fragilis* group) are common components of the healthy colonic flora and are often involved in both pure and mixed infections in man—e.g., intra-abdominal abscesses, bacteraemia, wound and urogenital infections. *B. fragilis*, the type species, is the anaerobe isolated most commonly from clinical specimens, and the most common cause of anaerobic bacteraemia.^{1–4} The surface polysaccharides of the *B. fragilis* group are widely considered to be major virulence determinants.^{5,6} However, despite extensive research, there is still debate as to whether the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of *B. fragilis* is rough⁵ or smooth⁷ and the roles of the capsule and LPS as virulence factors are unclear. This is further confused by the recognition, which has not been considered by many workers, that following fractionation by Percoll discontinuous density centrifugation,⁸ a wild-type laboratory culture of *B. fragilis* has been shown to be morphologically heterogeneous with respect to both size⁹ and antigenicity^{10,11} of its capsule.

Resistance to the bactericidal effects of serum complement has been clearly shown to be associated with virulence in a wide range of species.^{12,13} In some cases, the mechanism of resistance is known, and both the O polysaccharide of LPS and capsular polysaccharide (CP) have been implicated as important virulence determinants. For example, wild-type *Sal-*

monella minnesota (with smooth LPS) is highly resistant to complement, whereas the rough mutant is extremely sensitive.¹⁴ In the case of *Escherichia coli* K1 strains, the CP is responsible for complement resistance.¹⁵

Previous studies have shown that *Bacteroides* strains isolated from infections are generally more resistant to complement than those isolated from faeces.¹⁶ Clinical isolates of *B. fragilis* have been found to be more resistant to complement than clinical isolates of other *Bacteroides* species.¹⁷ Also, complement-resistant strains of *B. fragilis* have been shown to survive better than complement-sensitive strains in a subcutaneous model of infection.¹⁸ However, the mechanism of complement resistance in *Bacteroides* strains is un-

Table I. *Bacteroides* strains

Species	Strain no.	Source
<i>B. fragilis</i>	MPRL 1504	Wound
<i>B. fragilis</i>	NCTC 9343	Appendix abscess
<i>B. uniformis</i>	ATCC 8492	Unknown
<i>B. vulgatus</i>	MPRL 1985	Faeces
<i>B. vulgatus</i>	MPRL 1651	Faeces
<i>B. caccae</i>	MPRL 1555	Wound
<i>B. ovatus</i>	MPRL 2370	Blood
<i>B. eggerthii</i>	NCTC 11155	Faeces
<i>B. distasonis</i>	ATCC 8503	Unknown
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i>	MPRL 1959	Blood
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i>	NCTC 10582	Faeces
<i>B. variabilis</i>	VPI 11368	Unknown

MPRL, departmental stock culture; NCTC, National Collection of Type Cultures; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; VPI, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, USA.

Received 9 Dec. 1993; accepted 19 Jan. 1994.

* Correspondence should be sent to Dr I. R. Poxton.

known, but CP is not thought to enhance resistance,¹⁹ while the role of LPS is unclear.

It is well recognised that the growth environment of bacteria greatly influences the phenotypic expression of surface molecules. This can be fundamental in the adaptive process that enables an invading pathogenic bacterium to survive.^{20,21} The aims of this study were: to investigate whether different growth conditions affected the sensitivity of *Bacteroides* spp. to serum; to determine whether any change in sensitivity was concomitant with a change in surface chemistry; and to investigate whether different methods of processing serum affected its bactericidal capabilities.

Materials and methods

Bacteria and growth conditions

The strains used and their source are listed in table I. Bacteria were grown to early stationary phase in nutrient-rich proteose peptone-yeast extract medium (PPY),²² Van Tassell and Wilkins's minimal medium (VT and W)²³ and heat-inactivated (56°C, 30 min) sheep serum (HISS). Cultures were incubated at 37°C in an atmosphere of H₂ 10%, CO₂ 10% and N₂ 80% in an anaerobic cabinet (Forma), and were checked for purity by Gram's stain and by aerobic and anaerobic incubation on Columbia Blood Agar (Oxoid).

Collection of serum

Human serum, used as a source of complement, was collected from five healthy adult volunteers in two ways as follows. (A) Freshly drawn blood was allowed to clot at 37°C for 30 min, then centrifuged at 4000 *g* for 10 min. Sera were removed, centrifuged as before and the supernates were pooled and stored at -70°C in 1-ml volumes until just before use. (B) Freshly drawn blood was left to clot overnight at room temperature. It was then placed at 4°C for 30 min before removal of serum. The sera were centrifuged at 4000 *g* for 10 min before the supernates were pooled and stored at -70°C in 1-ml volumes until just before use.

The haemolytic complement value (CH50) of the individual and pooled serum samples was checked as described previously²⁴ except that phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Oxoid) was used instead of barbitone-buffered saline. Frozen specimens were thawed only once.

Serum sensitivity assay

Bacteria washed once in complement fixation test buffer (CFTB; Oxoid) were resuspended to a concentration of *c.* 10⁵ cfu/ml in either CFTB only (control), CFTB + serum 10% or CFTB + serum 40% and incubated aerobically (2 ml in 2.5-ml closed plastic tubes) at 37°C for 2 h with end-over-end rotation. Samples (100 µl) were taken at 0, 1 and 2 h, diluted 1 in 50 in CFTB, and 100 µl of the resulting suspension were spread on Columbia blood agar in duplicate.

After anaerobic incubation for 48 h, colonies were counted and the percentage survival compared to the control was calculated. All experiments were repeated at least twice. As a further control, all bacteria found to be sensitive to serum were resuspended to 10⁵ cfu/ml in CFTB + heat-inactivated (56°C, 30 min) human serum 40% and treated as above. In a preliminary experiment complement-mediated killing in an anaerobic environment with reduced (anaerobic) buffers was compared with the aerobic system described above. No differences were seen in bacterial survival.

Percoll gradients

Cell capsulation was assessed by Percoll (Pharmacia) discontinuous density centrifugation. Percoll was diluted as described previously,⁸ and a step gradient was produced by layering 1-ml volumes of 80% (bottom), then 60%, 40% and 20% (top) Percoll into 70 × 20-mm glass test tubes. A sample of an early stationary phase culture of the test organism (1.25 ml) was applied to the top of the 20% layer and the gradient was centrifuged at 2600 *g* for 20 min.

LPS preparation

LPS was prepared from washed cells obtained from a 10-ml early stationary phase culture by the micro-method developed by Fomsgaard *et al.*,²⁵ which was based on the aqueous phenol method of Westphal and Luderitz.²⁶

PAGE

PAGE was performed on acrylamide 14% w/v slab gels with the Laemmli buffer system,²⁷ except that SDS was omitted from the stacking and separating buffers. Samples (20 µl) of the aqueous phenol LPS extracts were loaded on the gels and the separating gel was stained with silver by the method developed by Tsai and Frasch,²⁸ as modified by Hancock and Poxton.²⁹

Results

Comparison of two methods of serum processing

Human serum processed by methods A and B was tested for its ability to kill *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504, *B. fragilis* NCTC 9343 and *B. vulgatus* MPRL 1985 grown in PPY (table II). With all three strains, serum processed by method A was more bactericidal than that processed by method B. The CH50 values of the sera (method A = 63.1 units/ml, method B = 49.1 units/ml) showed complement activity to be better preserved in serum processed by method A. Therefore, in all subsequent experiments, serum processed by method A was used.

Serum sensitivity of 12 Bacteroides strains grown in three different media

The 12 *Bacteroides* strains listed in table I were grown in three different media (PPY, VT and W, and

Table II. Complement killing of three *Bacteroides* strains grown in PPY by serum processed by two different methods

Strain	Assay medium	Percentage of cells surviving* with	
		Method A (CH50 = 63.1)	Method B (CH50 = 49.1)
<i>B. fragilis</i> MPRL 1504	CFTB only (control)	95.2	94.6
	10% serum	8.9	16.1
	40% serum	7.8	10.1
<i>B. fragilis</i> NCTC 9343	CFTB only (control)	95.3	93.8
	10% serum	55.1	77.6
	40% serum	14.2	37.3
<i>B. vulgatus</i> MPRL 1985	CFTB only (control)	91.9	93.0
	10% serum	56.2	68.3
	40% serum	49.8	58.3

*Survival after 1 h compared to time 0.

Fig. 1. Survival of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 in 40% human serum after growth in three media: ■—■ control (no serum); ▲—▲ PPY; ●—● VT and W; ◆—◆ HISS. Points shown are mean percentage survival calculated from four replicates.

HISS) and then tested for their ability to survive in 10% human serum, 40% human serum and buffer only (control). Table III shows the results for all 12 strains with 40% serum. When grown in PPY, all strains were sensitive to serum to varying degrees. However, when grown in VT and W, six of the 12 strains (*B. fragilis* MPRL 1504, *B. fragilis* NCTC 9343, *B. caccae* MPRL 1555, *B. thetaiotaomicron* MPRL 1959, *B. ovatus* MPRL 2370 and *B. vulgatus* MPRL 1985) became markedly more resistant to serum. With the exception of *B. vulgatus* MPRL 1985, these strains became totally resistant to serum when grown in HISS. In every case, survival in CFTB alone was between 90 and 100%. Fig. 1 shows the survival of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 in serum after growth in the three

Table III. Survival of 12 *Bacteroides* strains after 1 h in 40% human serum following growth in three media

Strain no.	Percentage survival of bacteria grown in		
	PPY	VT and W	HISS
<i>B. fragilis</i> MPRL 1504	7.8	37.9	92.2
<i>B. fragilis</i> NCTC 9343	14.2	68.1	94.2
<i>B. caccae</i> MPRL 1555	10.2	48.9	91.3
<i>B. ovatus</i> MPRL 2370	74.4	85.6	98.1
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> MPRL 1959	52.9	71.8	97.6
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> NCTC 10582	23.3	19.7	25.1
<i>B. uniformis</i> ATCC 8492	13.0	11.3	10.6
<i>B. vulgatus</i> MPRL 1985	49.8	61.1	41.5
<i>B. vulgatus</i> MPRL 1651	14.4	21.5	15.5
<i>B. eggerthii</i> NCTC 11155	0.0	3.2	0.0
<i>B. distasonis</i> ATCC 8503	6.7	0.0	2.6
<i>B. variabilis</i> VPI 11368	0.0	0.0	1.2

media. Heat inactivation of serum destroyed bactericidal activity in every case where a strain was killed by active serum.

Capsulation of cells in three media

A step gradient of Percoll was used to assess the degree of capsulation of the 12 *Bacteroides* strains grown in three different media. All of the strains (except *B. eggerthii* NCTC 11155 which was non-capsulate) had a characteristic ratio of cells with large:small:no capsule, and in all cases, this ratio varied with the growth medium. The Percoll gradients of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 after growth in the different media are shown in fig. 2a. India ink smears of the three cell types of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 (i.e., large, small, no capsule), extracted from the Percoll gradients, are shown in fig. 2b.

Fig. 2. a, four-step (20, 40, 60 and 80%) Percoll density gradients after centrifugation with cultures of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 grown in three media. Cells with large capsules were found at the 0–20% interface, those with small capsules at the 20–40% and 40–60% interfaces and those with no capsule at the 60–80% interface. b, photomicrographs of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 showing bacteria with: i, large capsules (from VT and W); ii, small capsules (from PPY); iii, no capsules (from HISS). Cells were removed from the most concentrated band in each of the Percoll gradients and stained with India ink. Bar markers = c. 2 μ m.

LPS profiles of bacteria grown in three media

The LPS profiles of all 12 *Bacteroides* strains grown in the three media were examined by aqueous phenol extraction followed by PAGE and silver staining. Fig. 3 shows the silver-stained PAGE profiles of the LPS of the six strains that showed most altered sensitivity to serum in different media. Each species had a characteristic profile, with some obvious differences seen in that of any given strain when grown in different media. *B. vulgatus* was the only species to show a distinct ladder pattern that is characteristic of smooth LPS as seen in enterobacteria, and this strain showed the least differences in staining pattern. Other profiles were similar to those published previously,^{7,30} with low M_r bands characteristic of rough or semi-rough

strains, but all also had a few strongly staining high M_r bands which we have shown previously to be made up of closely spaced ladder patterns.⁷ The apparent weak staining of *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 (tracks 4–6) is probably because this is an extremely mucoid strain and much of the extract may be of such a high M_r that it is unable to enter the polyacrylamide gel. There is no obvious correlation between pattern and change in sensitivity to serum.

Discussion

The mode of action of the complement system is now largely understood, and some bacterial resistance mechanisms to its lethal effects are known. However, a major drawback in experimental work on this system

Fig. 3. Silver-stained PAGE (14% acrylamide) LPS profiles of aqueous phenol extracts of six *Bacteroides* strains following growth to early stationary phase in PPY, HISS and VT and W. Tracks: 1, *B. fragilis* NCTC 9343 (PPY); 2, *B. fragilis* NCTC 9343 (VT and W); 3, *B. fragilis* NCTC 9343 (HISS); 4, *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 (PPY); 5, *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 (VT and W); 6, *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 (HISS); 7, *B. caccae* MPRL 1555 (PPY); 8, *B. caccae* MPRL 1555 (VT and W); 9, *B. caccae* MPRL 1555 (HISS); 10, *B. ovatus* MPRL 2370 (PPY); 11, *B. ovatus* MPRL 2370 (VT and W); 12, *B. ovatus* MPRL 2370 (HISS); 13, *B. thetaiotaomicron* MPRL 1959 (PPY); 14, *B. thetaiotaomicron* MPRL 1959 (VT and W); 15, *B. thetaiotaomicron* MPRL 1959 (HISS); 16, *B. vulgatus* MPRL 1985 (PPY); 17, *B. vulgatus* MPRL 1985 (VT and W); 18, *B. vulgatus* MPRL 1985 (HISS).

is that there is no standardised method for testing serum sensitivity, and also no universal definition of serum sensitivity.¹³ Many papers do not specify how serum used in sensitivity experiments was processed and stored. This study has shown that different methods of processing serum affect complement activity (and therefore bacterial killing), and so may significantly affect the outcome of an experiment and the conclusions drawn from it.

Previous studies investigating the susceptibility of *Bacteroides* spp. to serum have done so after growth in one medium only. However, this study has shown dramatic changes in the sensitivity of some *Bacteroides* strains to serum when growth medium is altered. These results demonstrate a need to take growth medium into consideration when assessing serum sensitivity of bacteria, and also possibly when assessing other virulence factors.

All the *Bacteroides* strains in this study that became totally resistant to serum when grown in HISS (table II) were of clinical origin (table I). This is in agreement with a previous study by Casciato *et al.*,¹⁶ who found that faecal isolates of *Bacteroides* were significantly more sensitive to serum than those isolated from clinical infections. Resistance to complement-mediated killing is a well recognised virulence factor and is usually associated with the presence of a capsule or smooth LPS. This relationship does not seem to hold for the *Bacteroides* strains investigated here. *B. fragilis* MPRL 1504 grown in HISS was non-capsulate, and was resistant to complement, whereas the cap-

sulate cells (grown in PPY and VT and W) were sensitive. This is in agreement with a previous study, where Reid and Patrick¹⁹ found the non-capsulate variant of *B. fragilis* ATCC 23745 to be resistant to complement, and the capsulate variant to be sensitive. Of the other four strains in this study that became resistant to serum after growth in HISS, two were mainly non-capsulate and two were capsulate. Although differences were seen in the LPS patterns of any given strain when grown in three different media, we were unable to relate changes in pattern to changes in sensitivity to serum. However, the resolution of *Bacteroides* LPS with silver staining tends to be much poorer than that of many other organisms (e.g., the Enterobacteriaceae).^{21,31} Also, we suspect that certain high M_r polysaccharides may not be entering the gel and it is, therefore, possible that there were differences that were undetected by this method. *B. vulgatus* (with smooth LPS) remained sensitive to serum, suggesting that smooth LPS alone is not responsible for serum resistance in *Bacteroides*.

Although it has been demonstrated that variation in culture conditions can markedly affect sensitivity to serum complement, the biochemical basis is still uncertain. This can be resolved only when the surface chemistry of *Bacteroides* strains is understood more fully.

This work was funded by the Medical Research Council. We thank C. Blackwood for carrying out preliminary experiments, Dr J. Stewart for helpful suggestions and R. Brown and M. Kerr for practical assistance.

References

- Namavar F, Theunissen EBM, Verweij-Van Vught AMJJ *et al.* Epidemiology of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group in the colonic flora in 10 patients with colonic cancer. *J Med Microbiol* 1989; **29**: 171–176.
- Gorbach SL, Bartlett JG. Anaerobic infections. *N Engl J Med* 1974; **290**: 1177–1184, 1237–1245–1289–1294.
- Duerden BI. The isolation and identification of *Bacteroides* spp. from the normal human faecal flora. *J Med Microbiol* 1980; **13**: 69–78.
- Lindberg AA, Berthold P, Nord CE, Weintraub A. Encapsulated strains of *Bacteroides fragilis* in clinical specimens. *Med Microbiol Immunol* 1979; **167**: 29–36.
- Onderdonk AB, Kasper DL, Cisneros RL, Bartlett JG. The capsular polysaccharide of *Bacteroides fragilis* as a virulence factor: comparison of the pathogenic potential of encapsulated and unencapsulated strains. *J Infect Dis* 1977; **136**: 82–89.
- Connolly JC, McLean C, Tabaqchali S. The effect of capsular polysaccharide and lipopolysaccharide of *Bacteroides fragilis* on polymorph function and serum killing. *J Med Microbiol* 1984; **17**: 259–271.
- Poxton IR, Brown R. Immunochemistry of the surface carbohydrate antigens of *Bacteroides fragilis* and definition of a common antigen. *J Gen Microbiol* 1986; **132**: 2475–2481.
- Patrick S, Reid JH. Separation of capsule and non-capsulate *Bacteroides fragilis* on a discontinuous density gradient. *J Med Microbiol* 1983; **16**: 239–241.
- Patrick S, Reid JH, Coffey A. Capsulation of in vitro and in vivo grown *Bacteroides* species. *J Gen Microbiol* 1986; **132**: 1099–1109.
- Reid JH, Patrick S, Tabaqchali S. Immunochemical characterization of a polysaccharide antigen of *Bacteroides fragilis* with an IgM monoclonal antibody. *J Gen Microbiol* 1987; **133**: 171–179.
- Lutton DA, Patrick S, Crockard AD *et al.* Flow cytometric analysis of within-strain variation in polysaccharide expression by *Bacteroides fragilis* by use of murine monoclonal antibodies. *J Med Microbiol* 1991; **35**: 229–237.
- Taylor PW. Bactericidal and bacteriolytic activity of serum against gram-negative bacteria. *Microbiol Rev* 1983; **47**: 46–83.
- Crokaert F, Lismont M-J, van der Linden M-P, Yourassowsky E. Determination of complement-mediated serum bactericidal activity against gram-negative bacteria. *Rev Med Microbiol* 1992; **3**: 241–247.
- Frank MM, Joiner K, Hammer C. The function of antibody and complement in the lysis of bacteria. *Rev Infect Dis* 1987; **9** Supplement 5: S537–S545.
- Leying H, Suerbaum S, Kroll H-P, Stahl D, Opferkuch W. The capsular polysaccharide is a major determinant of serum resistance in K-1-positive blood culture isolates of *Escherichia coli*. *Infect Immun* 1990; **58**: 222–227.
- Casciato DA, Rosenblatt JE, Bluestone R, Goldberg LS, Finegold SM. Susceptibility of isolates of *Bacteroides* to the bactericidal activity of normal human serum. *J Infect Dis* 1979; **140**: 109–113.
- Rotimi VO, Eke PI. The bactericidal action of human serum on *Bacteroides* species. *J Med Microbiol* 1984; **18**: 355–363.
- Namavar F, Verweij-Van Vught AMJJ, MacLaren DM. A study of the candidate virulence factors of *Bacteroides fragilis*. *J Gen Microbiol* 1991; **137**: 1431–1435.
- Reid JH, Patrick S. Phagocytic and serum killing of capsule and non-capsulate *Bacteroides fragilis*. *J Med Microbiol* 1984; **17**: 247–257.
- Smith H. Pathogenicity and the microbe in vivo. *J Gen Microbiol* 1990; **136**: 377–393.
- Nelson D, Bathgate AJ, Poxton IR. Monoclonal antibodies as probes for detecting lipopolysaccharide expression on *Escherichia coli* from different growth conditions. *J Gen Microbiol* 1991; **137**: 2741–2751.
- Holbrook WP, Duerden BI, Deacon AG. The classification of *Bacteroides melaninogenicus* and related species. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1977; **42**: 259–273.
- Van Tassell RL, Wilkins TD. Isolation of auxotrophs of *Bacteroides fragilis*. *Can J Microbiol* 1978; **24**: 1619–1621.
- Hudson L, Hay FC. Antibody effector systems. In: Practical immunology, 2nd edn. Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publications. 1980: 142–145.
- Fomsgaard A, Shand GH, Freudenberg MA *et al.* Antibodies from chronically infected cystic fibrosis patients react with lipopolysaccharides extracted by new micromethods from all serotypes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *APMIS* 1993; **101**: 101–112.
- Westphal O, Luderitz O. Chemische erforschung von lipopolysacchariden gramnegativer bakterien. *Angew Chem* 1954; **66**: 407–417.
- Laemmli UK. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head protein of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 1970; **227**: 680–685.
- Tsai CM, Frasch CE. A sensitive silver stain for detecting lipopolysaccharide in polyacrylamide gels. *Anal Biochem* 1982; **119**: 115–119.
- Hancock IC, Poxton IR. In: *Bacterial cell surface techniques*. Chichester, John Wiley and Sons. 1988: 281.
- Maskell JP. The resolution of bacteroides lipopolysaccharides by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *J Med Microbiol* 1991; **34**: 253–257.
- Hitchcock PJ, Brown TM. Morphological heterogeneity among *Salmonella* lipopolysaccharide chemotypes in silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. *J Bacteriol* 1983; **154**: 269–277.